Case 1:08-cv-10466-DPW Document 356 Filed 11/14/17 Page 1 of 6:00 pm

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSA CHARGES CHARGES

## DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JACKI	E HOSANG LAWSON,	)			
	Plaintiff,	100	IVIL ACTION NO.		
v.		) 0:	8-10466-DPW		
FMR C INVES BROK	LC, dba FIDELITY INVESTMENTS; CORP., dba FIDELITY TMENTS; and FIDELITY ERAGES SERVICES LLC, dba ITY INVESTMENTS  Defendants.	) ) ) ) )			
		<u>VERDICT</u>			
1.A	Has Ms. Lawson proven by a preponderar	nce of the evid	ence she had an actual su	bjective belief that	
	Fidelity's conduct could constitute violati	on of Federal l	law relating to fraud agai	nst Fidelity's Mutual Fu	nd
	shareholders?				
	(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")			NO	
1.B	Has Ms. Lawson proven by a preponderan	nce of the evid	ence she had an objective	ely reasonable belief that	t
	Fidelity's conduct could constitute violati	on of Federal I	aw relating to fraud again	nst Fidelity's Mutual Fu	nd
	shareholders?			4   0	
	(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")			_100	
	If you have answered "NO" to either Q	uestion 1.A or	r Question 1.B, answer	no further questions an	ıd
sign, da	te the last page and return your Verdict.	Otherwise,	turn to Question 2.		
2.	What is the earliest date Ms. Lawson has	proven by a pr	eponderance of the evide	nce Fidelity had notice s	she
	was engaged in the protected activity of p	roviding inform	mation concerning her re-	asonable belief that	
	Fidelity's conduct could constitute violation	on of Federal l	aw relating to fraud again	nst Fidelity's Mutual Fur	nd
	shareholders?				
	(ANSWER BY IDENTIFYING DATE, M	ONTH and Y	TEAR)		

Answer only those questions in the subparts of Section 3.A concerning activity occurring on or after the date you have established in your Answer to Question 2.

3.A Has Ms. Lawson proven by a preponderance of the evidence she suffered adverse empl		Lawson proven by a preponderance of the evidence she suffered adverse employment action by
	Fidelity	in any one or more of the following ways?
	(i)	She was constructively discharged from employment in September 2007.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(ii)	She undeservedly received no salary increase in July 2007.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(iii)	She received a lower than deserved bonus and no Chairman's shares in December 2006.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(iv)	She received a lower than deserved salary increase in July 2006.
•		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(v)	She received a lower than deserved bonus and no Chairman's shares in December 2005.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(vi)	She received a lower than deserved salary increase in July 2005.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(vii)	She was subjected to harassment in her workplace.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")

If you have answered "NO" to all Questions in the subparts of Section 3.A, answer no further questions and sign, date the last page and return your Verdict. Otherwise, turn to Section 3.B.

Answer only those questions in the subparts to Section 3.B parallel to those questions in the subparts of Section 3.A to which you have answered 'YES."

3.B	Has Ms	s. Lawson proven by a preponderance of the evidence that retaliation for engaging in the protected
	activity	of providing information concerning her reasonable belief that Fidelity's conduct could constitute
	violatio	on of Federal law relating to fraud against Fidelity's Mutual Fund shareholders was a contributing
	factor t	o any adverse employment action you have found in your answers to the questions posed in Section
	3.A?	
		i
	(i)	She was constructively discharged from employment in September 2007.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(ii)	She undeservedly received no salary increase in July 2007.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(iii)	She received a lower than deserved bonus and no Chairman's shares in December 2006.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(iv)	She received a lower than deserved salary increase in July 2006.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(v)	She received a lower than deserved bonus and no Chairman's shares in December 2005.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(vi)	She received a lower than deserved salary increase in July 2005.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
	(vii)	She was subjected to harassment in her workplace.
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")

If you have answered "NO" to all questions in the subparts of Section 3.B that you are obligated to answer, then answer no further questions and sign, date the last page and return your Verdict. Otherwise, turn to Section 3.C.

Answer only those questions in the subparts to Section 3.C parallel to those questions in the subparts of Section 3.B. to which you have answered "YES."

to

3.C	Has Fidelity has proven by clear and convincing evidence that it would have taken the same adverse		
employment action you have found retaliatory in response to questions posed		nent action you have found retaliatory in response to questions posed in Section 3.B with respect	
	Ms. Lawson, regardless of Ms. Lawson's protected activity?		
	(i)	She was constructively discharged from employment in September 2007.	
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")	
	(ii)	She undeservedly received no salary increase in July 2007.	
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")	
	(iii)	She received a lower than deserved bonus and no Chairman's shares in December 2006.	
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")	
	(iv)	She received a lower than deserved salary increase in July 2006.	
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")	
	(v)	She received a lower than deserved bonus and no Chairman's shares in December 2005.	
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")	
	(vi)	She received a lower than deserved salary increase in July 2005.	
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")	
	(vii)	She was subjected to harassment in her workplace.	
		(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")	

If you have answered "YES" to all questions that you are obligated to answer in the subparts to Section 3.C., answer no further questions and sign, date the last page and return your Verdict. Otherwise, turn to the instructions for Question 4.A(i).

	Question 4.A(i) only if you have answered "NO" to any one or more of Questions 3.C(ii)-(vii) you oligated to answer. Otherwise, turn to the instructions for Question 4.A(ii)(a)).
4.A	(i) What dollar amount of damages, if any, has Ms. Lawson proven by a preponderance of the evidence Fidelity owes her as lost wages and other economic benefits through the date of this trial as a result of retaliatory adverse employment action she received while she was employed by Fidelity?
	Answer in Dollars ("\$") OR "NONE."
Answei	r Question 4.A(ii)(a) only if you have answered "NO" to Question 3.C(i).
	(ii) (a) Has Fidelity proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Ms. Lawson failed to mitigate her damages for lost wages and other economic benefits after she left Fidelity's employ?
	(ANSWER "YES" OR "NO")
If you have answered "YES" to Question 4.A(ii)(a), you may include in any damage amount you determine in your answer to Question 4.A(ii)(b) below only damages for any time period, after her employment with Fidelity and up to the date of your verdict, during which you find Ms. Lawson used reasonable diligence under the circumstances to reduce her damages.	
	(ii) (b) What dollar amount of damages, if any, has Ms. Lawson proven by a preponderance of the evidence Fidelity owes her as lost wages and other economic benefits after she left Fidelity's employ through the date of this trial?  Anguer in Pollars ("S") OR "NONE"

4.B.	What dollar amount of damages, if any, has Ms. Lawson proven by a preponderance of the evidence
	Fidelity owes her for emotional distress as a result of the retaliatory employment action you have found?

Answer in Dollars ("\$") OR "NONE."

11/14/17

DATE

FOREPERSON